

Minutes of 21st meeting of Technical Advisory-Cum-Monitoring Committee (TAMC) for issues relating to ATUFS and Previous Versions of TUFS at 02.00 pm on 2nd March, 2021

21st meeting of the Technical Advisory-cum-Monitoring Committee (TAMC) on Amended Technology Upgradation Funds Scheme (ATUFS) and Previous Versions of TUFS was held at 2:30 pm on 02nd March 2021 through Video Conferencing mode under the chairpersonship of Ms. Roop Rashi, Textile Commissioner. The list of participants is enclosed at **Annexure-I**.

At the outset, the Chairperson extended a warm welcome to all the participants and requested Ms Usha Pralhad Pol, Deputy Director General to take up item-wise agenda for discussion before the Committee. The agenda-wise decisions of TAMC are as follows:

Agenda No.01: Confirmation of the minutes of the 20th TAMC meeting held on 23.02.2021

Minutes of the 20th meeting of TAMC held on 23.02.2021 were circulated to all members. As no comments/suggestions were received from the members of TAMC, the minutes are treated as confirmed.

Issues related to Previous TUFS:

Agenda No.2: Allowing accessories from Non OEM (Original Equipment Manufacturer)

- a) In many occasions, OEM is not manufacturing the accessories or there are compatible accessories available in the domestic cost competitive market from other suppliers. Industry has been representing about the strict and narrow interpretation of the clause which appears to be not in sync with industry practices as a result of which even though those accessories which have been found installed by JIT technical team and identified by the member from the Bank are being left out while calculating the eligibility of the TUFS eligible loan.
- b) Some JITs are not recommending accessories not accompanying the OEM machinery thereby rendering them ineligible from the beginning. It was emphasized by industry that there is a good case for allowing accessories purchased from other manufacturers as many original machine supplier are not manufacturing accessories or there are alternatives available in the domestic market.
- c) The Para 3.2(7) of Resolution dated 04-10-2013 under RRTUFS, 3.2 (8) under RTUFS and para 1(m)(i) of circular No 04 (2008-09 Series) dated 28th July, 2008 under MTUFS states that Accessories /attachments/ sample machines/ spares received along with machinery upto the value of 20% of the machinery cost eligible under the scheme or actual value whichever is lower.
- d) This para does not restrict that accessories /attachments/ sample machines/ spares etc are to be purchased from OEM only. There is only one condition that it should be received along with machinery, which does not mean that accessories /attachments/sample machines/ spares etc are to be purchased from OEM only. There is only one condition that it should be received along with machinery, which means that accessories /attachments/ sample machines/ spares etc may be purchased from other than OEM also.

- e) Further, it was also noted that there is already a clarification to this extent from MoT in respect of allowing accessories from other manufacturers under ATUFS

In order to avoid any technical and processing problems at ROIC level, JIT in their inspection report may state that the listed accessories are essential and compatible for the machine.

TAMC may deliberate and take a view in the matter.

Discussion: TAMC members unanimously supported the long term demand of the Industry regarding allowing accessories of eligible machinery purchased from the manufacturer other than Original Equipment Manufacturer (OEM) under previous version of TUFS on the same principle as that of ATUFS where MoT allowed accessories purchased from other manufacturers. Moreover, some of TAMC Members also supported the agenda which is also promoting ATMA NIRBHAR Bharat and import substitution as well as reiterated the fact that not all spares/ accessories are manufactured by the OEM and there are cost effective options also available in many cases which minimize the project cost. It was also discussed no separate additionality/ certificate is required since JIT is responsible for all recommendation in their report *ab initio*.

Decision of TAMC: After detailed deliberations, it was decided that Accessories / attachment/ sample machines/spares of the previous versions TUFS up to the value of 20% of the machinery cost eligible under the Scheme or actual value whichever is lower will be eligible irrespective of purchased from OEM or other Manufacturers.

Agenda No.3: Contingency Provisions

- a) The contingency provision (non-firmed up cost) to the extent of 5% maximum (on actual basis) may be covered under RR-TUFS in respect of plant and machinery and other investments eligible under RR-TUFS. It is not clear which items to be considered in other investments for calculation of subsidy.
- b) It was noted that many Industry/ industry association have been representing that big projects are implemented over a period of upto 2 years and there is every likelihood that there are contingent developments in the project and minor deviations in the machines installed (in terms of numbers, make or model etc as well as new developments / new machines) or there might be escalations in the price of such machines compared to original estimates.
- c) After detailed discussion in house in the O/o TXC earlier, it was unanimously decided that new machines or increased no. of machines compared to original projections cannot be covered under this provision. However, cost escalation in domestic payments or currency fluctuation on purchase of imported machinery at the time of actual payment may be considered under the already existing provision of contingency available in the RRTUF GR subject to the extent of 5% maximum (on actual basis) and subject to a value cap of Rs. 5 crore of eligible machinery.

TAMC may deliberate and take a view in the matter.

Discussion: A lot of discussion took place on the various industry practices and possibilities of some minor changes happening at the time of implementation of the project. Some of the TAMC members were of the view that all changes done in implementation of eligible machinery in terms of minor change in machinery or increase in the number of machinery should be allowed as long as they are bench marked technology and are within the overall project cost. It was informed to them from the official side that there are CAG observations in the past regarding this contingency clause.

Decision of TAMC: After detailed deliberations, it was finally agreed to allow only cost escalation in purchase of domestic machinery or currency fluctuation at the time of actual payment on the purchased imported machinery under the existing provision of contingency available in the GR on RRTUF subject to the extent of 5% maximum (on actual basis) and subject to a value cap of Rs. 5 crore of eligible machinery cost.

Agenda No.4 Indigenous/ Imported Machines declared in RR2 format under RRTUFS by Lending Agency

- a) Consequent to the decision of the Govt. to conduct 100% Physical inspections of the machinery under previous versions of TUFs, in some cases, during JIT it has been found that there is a variation in details of Plant and machinery proposed by the Lending Agency in the RR2 format and actual machinery installed in the Plant Eg. Original RR2 format states machinery to be imported but actually machinery is procured indigenously. Also vice-versa cases would be there.
- b) The installed machinery otherwise, qualifies the eligibility specifications specified in the scheme (benchmarked machinery) and has also been physically verified by the 3 member JIT.
- c) However, such machines are not being considered due to the lending agency declaration in RR 2 format, which is done at the beginning of the project.
- d) The matter was discussed in house at length. It is found that MoT vide letter No.16011/02/2020-TUFS dated 07.02.2020 (Annexure-1) has already allowed the change in machinery claimed under RR2 subject to certain conditions which inter-alia included
 - i. The machine actually installed should fall in the same segment as the one claimed in RR2.
 - ii. The machine should be installed, commissioned and should meet benchmark technology under the scheme.
 - iii. Overall committed liability of the account should not exceed amount mentioned in RR2.
 - iv. The technology procured is higher or equivalent to the technology applied and approved.

TAMC may deliberate and take a view in the matter.

Discussion: The TAMC members reiterated the Industry demand to simplify the scheme implementation to ensure speedy disposal of cases. The TAMC members after going through the annexure affirmed that this kind of case is covered in the Ministry of Textiles clarification

issued vide their letter No. No.16011/02/2020-TUFS dated 07.02.2020 and agreed on the conditions mentioned in the agenda notes to be relevant to this case.

Decision of TAMC: The TAMC unanimously clarified the applicability of the MoT clarification dated 07.02.20 in instant case and decided to allow change in the machinery in RR2 format under RRTUFS by Lending Agency on account of its origin declared initially. On physical verification if the JIT finds the machinery installed and as long as it fulfills the 4 conditions mentioned at para-d above and the eligible cost of machines remains within the overall committed liability. Such machinery should be allowed as eligible machinery for calculation of subsidy under the scheme.

Agenda No.5 Allowing minor changes in machinery if belonging to declared Segment(s) in FR 2/ UID

- a) As per bank declaration in FR2, this Office had allotted UID for the said segment. The bank also had submitted RR2 for the segments for which UID is issued
- b) In some cases, it is noticed that units have installed benchmarked machines belonging to same segment declared but while mentioning in the original proposal have wrongly mentioned that particular machine to be belonging to some other segment.
- c) It was discussed in the in-house meeting that this issue was also covered in the Ministry of Textiles letter No.16011/02/2020-TUFS dated 07.02.20 (Annexure-1) and should be included as long as machinery wrongly mentioned in RR2 belongs to the declared segment in the UID.

TAMC may deliberate and take a view in the matter.

Discussion:TAMC members stated that there are possibilities of such typographical or clerical mistakes which are unintended and are more pronounced in case of a MSME unit who may not have proper guidance and support at the time of submission of forms. The Committee also noted that in some cases same machine was also found in 2 or 3 segments and that the GR on RRTUFS in para 3.2 (9) clearly states that “Machinery eligible for one segment is eligible for other segments / activity also unless its eligibility is specifically restricted for a particular segment”

Decision of TAMC:In light of the discussion above, TAMC decided that minor changes, errors in the declaration form as regards to machinery are allowed as per the Scheme documents as long as they belong to the same declared segment.

Decisions of ITC for ratification by TAMC

Agenda No. 6: Verification and recommendation of 4 proposals for enlistment of Machinery Manufacturers/ Authorized Agents under ATUFS as per Para no. of 3.4 of Revised Resolution of ATUFS dated 02.08.2018 and subsequent order No. 6/5/2015-TUFS dated 06.08.2019.

Decision Taken in ITC: The list of 4 proposals (Abroad -3, Indigenous – 1) received from Textile Machine Manufacturers for enlistment under ATUFS, were scrutinized by the Internal Technical Committee and the Committee decided to enlist one aboard manufacturer M/s. Shaoyang Textile Machinery Co., Ltd. China only and recommended the same to the Textile

Commissioner for her approval for uploading in this Office website (**Attached herewith as Annexure-B, Sl no 29 only**).

It was also opined by the Committee that the empanelment of translators for translating the Embassy Certificates and Business licenses received in Chinese, Japanese, Korean and other languages will take time. If ITC will stop to consider the request of manufacturers till empanelment of translator, it may delay the enlistment of machinery manufacturers which in turn will further delay the disbursement of subsidy under ATUFS. Hence, it was decided that based on the translation through Google software, the Committee will consider the cases for decision till empanelment of translator is made by the department.

TAMC may deliberate and recommend.

Decision of TAMC: The Committee ratified inclusion of one abroad machinery manufacturers enclosed in the Annexure – 2.

Agenda No. 7: Consideration of Label weaving machine supplied by MEI International S.R.L, Italy:-

M/s MEI International SRL has requested to amend certain conditions laid down for enlistment (MEI Label Weaving Machines as a machine type) in A-TUFS. The matter was placed and decided in 18th meeting of TAMC to consider the MEI Label Weaving Machines as a main machine, since it is used for manufacturing of labels for fixing on the garments / Made ups subject to the following conditions:

- i. The unit should submit the original invoice of shuttleless loom manufacturer addressed to M/s. MEI International along with the shipping documents. (Packing List /Bill of Lading /Bill of Entry / Country of Origin)
- ii. The date of invoice of the Shuttle less Loom should not be more than 6 month older than the invoice of M/s. MEI International.
- iii. The machine should fulfill the speed criteria of concerned shuttle less loom as per ATUFS guidelines.
- iv. Eligibility of machine shall be allowed hence forth (prospectively).
- v. Only 20% value addition on base price of loom with Dobby / Jacquard will be considered for eligible subsidy.

The matter was once again placed in 19th meeting of TAMC and the decision taken therein is a under:-

The Committee opined that this issue needs more deliberations to understand the practical difficulties in considering the request of the machine manufacturer and since this machine is required to support garment /made-ups manufactures and home textiles manufacturers to fulfill their demands of labels as it has unique value addition by modification of a shuttle less loom with jacquard to manufacture woven labels. Therefore, Textile Commissioner may decide the issue after due consultations with the machine manufacture and Chairman, AEPC etc. for consideration of eligible subsidy subject to conditions met from (i) to (v) as below:-

- i. The unit should submit the original invoice of shuttleless loom manufacturer addressed to M/s. MEI International along with the shipping documents. (packing List /Bill of Lading /Bill of Entry / Country of Origin)
- ii. The date of invoice of the Shuttle less Loom should not be more than 6 month older than the invoice of M/s. MEI International.

- iii. The machine should fulfill the speed criteria of concerned shuttle less loom as per ATUFS guidelines.
- iv. Eligibility of machine shall be allowed hence forth (prospectively).
- v. Only 20% value addition on base price of loom with Dobby / Jacquard will be considered for eligible subsidy.

Now the Company has again represented that they are willing to provide the following documents in lieu of original invoice of their shuttle less looms.

1. Declaration from MEI International disclosing the serial no. of loom and Date of Manufacturing inscribed in the loom and also in a separate note of declaration.
2. Documents addressed to MEI International by the Shuttle less loom manufacturers
 - a. Declaration for serial no. and Date of loom delivered.
 - b. Packing list / delivery note/ bill of lading/bill of entry /country of origin as it may be applicable

They have also informed that all other terms and conditions will gracefully be adhered to by them and they will be very grateful if the clause regarding invoice requirement is waived off.

Decision Taken in ITC: As a trade practice, if M/s. MEI International purchases the looms in bulk quantity, they cannot disclose their price. However, as decided earlier by the ITC, the department has to consider 20% additional cost on the basic price of the looms with jacquard. Hence, cost of loom with jacquard will be required to work out 20% additional cost in the form of value addition by MEI International. M/s. MEI International has now proposed to submit the bill of lading/bill of entry addressed to them by the loom manufacturer and the bill of entry is having the details regarding number of machines and value of the machines etc, hence the cost may be worked out easily even though they are not providing invoice of the loom manufacturer to them.

In view of the above, the Committee agreed to consider their proposal, however as decided by the 19th TAMC the Textile Commissioner may take final view on this matter.

TAMC may deliberate and recommend.

Decision of TAMC: The TAMC decided that it is an unique and an essential machine for preparing woven labels which are required by apparel industry and there is only one manufacture available, hence we need to look at specific arrangements to enable access to their technology and also for the arrangement of doing value addition by MEI International on the shuttleless looms with jacquard. To facilitate said unique technology as required by industry, we have to accept the invoice of MEI international for said machine though machine indicates machines plates of MEI International as well as shuttleless manufacturer. The value addition will be restricted to 20% of the basic cost of shuttleless loom with jacquard as recommended by ITC. Such arrangement in this case is recommended by TAMC for consideration by MoT / IMSC since machine used for manufacturing of woven labels which are categories as technical textiles (Cloth- Tech)

Agenda No. 8: Request for inclusion of complete production line for manufacturing of Geogrids machine under ATUFS.

ATUFS Cell had forwarded the request of M/s. Geotech Industries Pvt. Ltd., for the inclusion of complete production line for manufacturing of Geogrids machine in Technical Textile

eligible machine list of Amended TUF Scheme. The said matter was placed in the 17th ITC meeting and the decision taken therein is reproduced below:

Decision taken in the 17th ITC meeting: The Committee decided to call for the full manufacturing flowchart with component wise description of the activities for different components from M/s Geotech Industries Pvt. Ltd.

Now M/s. Geotech Industries Pvt. Ltd has submitted the manufacturing flowchart, cost breakup and certain other details by email on 25/02/2021

Decision Taken in ITC: As polymerised geogrids are produced by polymerisation process and as identified by ICRA in their report on 'Baseline survey of the Technical Textile industry in India' geogrids are one of the technical textile items under Geotech segment. The Committee is of the opinion that the matter may be referred to TAMC to decide as it is not being manufactured either by using woven, non-woven or knitted process. If TAMC considers the request of M/s. Geotech Industries Pvt. Ltd then it may be incorporated under MC-03-A(2) as under :-

“PLC controlled fully integrated polymer geogrid production line” manufactured by a single manufacturer.

TAMC may deliberate and recommend.

Decision of TAMC: The Committee decided to include in the list of eligible machinery under Technical Textile segment as “PLC controlled fully integrated polymer geogrid production line” manufactured by single manufacturer” under MC-03-A(2).

Agenda No. 9: Request received from Powerloom Development Cell:

PDC has forwarded a case of M/s. Shree Hari Tex Fab, Surat with recommendation of R.O.Ahmedabad for release of subsidy for successfully installing and commissioning of 18 Nos. indigenously manufactured Shuttleless Rapier Looms purchased from M/s. Dynamic Autolooms India Pvt. Ltd., Ahmedabad.

On scrutiny, PDC has observed that the photographs of the machines submitted by JIT don't have the year of manufacture for which they have called for clarification from R.O.Ahmedabad. In reply the R.O. has submitted revised photographs bearing the year of manufacturing as 2014.

It may also be noted that there was no compulsion for mentioning the year of manufacture on indigenous machine plates under RRTUFS' GR dt. 4/10/2013, which was introduced later vide Resolution dt. 29/2/2016.

M/s. Dynamic Autolooms India Pvt. Ltd., Ahmedabad is already enlisted as manufacturer under 20% MMS in 2009 itself and continue to be enlisted in succeeding TUFS scheme i.e. 30% MMS. As such PDC is of the opinion that they may be considered based on the benchmarked status of manufacturer i.e. nine digit unique code as per RR-TUFS guidelines.

Decision Taken in ITC: The committee observed that in Sr.No.2 of Appendix-I of Annexure-D of the Resolution dt. 4/10/2013, the name of the Manufacture is indicated and 002 unique code was allocated to the manufacturer as per the scheme guidelines. Same code is also appearing in the machine plates purchased by M/s. Shree Hari Tex Fab, Surat. Moreover, the

UID was issued on 10/10/2014, hence guidelines as indicated at Para 10 of Annexure D of Resolution dt. 4/10/2013 will be applicable and accordingly the claim of the unit may be considered subject to fulfillment of other eligibility condition of the guidelines.

TAMC may deliberate and recommend.

Decision of TAMC: TAMC approves the recommendation of ITC since the UID was issued before issuance of revised guidelines dated 29-02-2016 on RRTUFS and 9 digit code found in accordance to guidelines for indigenous machine manufacturers.

Additional Agenda points of ITC

Additional Agenda No. 1: Verification and recommendation of proposals for enlistment of Machinery Manufacturers under ATUFS as per Para no. of 3.4 of Revised Resolution of ATUFS dated 02.08.2018 and subsequent order No. 6/5/2015-TUFS dated 06.08.2019.

15th Internal Technical Committee has recommended 28 proposals (Abroad- 10 & Indigenous - 8 and Authorized Agent - 10) to the Textile Commissioner for her approval and uploading the same on this Office website (Attached herewith as Annexure-A, Sl no 01 to 28 Nos).

Decision of TAMC: The Committee ratified inclusion of 10 abroad machinery manufacturers, 8 indigenous machinery manufacturers and 10 authorised agents as recommended by 15th ITC as indicated in enclosed Annexure - 2

Additional Agenda No. 2: Clarification asked for by TUFS Cell on the eligibility of claims pertaining to units who have purchased machines from M/s. Fukuhara Industrial & Trading Co. Ltd which has been delisted now as they are traders and not a machinery manufacturer.

M/s. Fukuhara Industrial & Trading Co. Ltd was enlisted at Sr. No. 73 (Annexure-II) {By Expert Committee}. Later on it was observed that M/s. Fukuhara Industrial & Trading Co. Ltd is a trader and not a machinery manufacturer. Accordingly, it was proposed to delist the name of M/s. Fukuhara Industrial & Trading Co. Ltd from Annexure-II. In the meantime, TUFS Cell has now raised the query about the eligibility of claim pertaining to the units who have purchased machines from the said suppliers during RR TUFS and ATUFS period. The matter was taken up for discussion in the 8th ITC and the decision taken in reproduced below :

Decision Taken in the 8th ITC meeting held on 18/8/2020: As per the 17th TAMC decision, the units enlisted in Annexure-II may submit the requisite documents within three months from the date of asking documents for restoration as per 4th IMSC decision, due to non functionality of the website of Office of Textile Commissioner thereby non accessibility of Circular No. 9 (2018-19 series) dated 27/03/2019. ITC is of the opinion that claims pertaining to the units who have purchased machines from the said suppliers during RR TUFS and ATUFS period may be considered. However the matter may be placed in the next TAMC meeting for further discussion / decision.

Decision Taken in the 18th TAMC meeting held on 9/10/2020 : The Committee decided that the claims pertaining to the units who have purchased machines from M/s. Fukuhara Industrial and Trading Co. Ltd during RR TUFS and ATUFS period (Between the enlistment and delistment period under ATUFS) may be considered subject to the fulfillment of all other conditions laid down in guidelines under ATUFS.

Decision Taken in the 13th ITC meeting held on 15/12/2020 :

M/s. Fukuhara Industrial and Trading Co have vide email dt. 5/11/2020 informed that they are the parent company administering the sales division of the entire Fukuhara Group. M/s. Precision Fukuhara Works Ltd and M/s. Fukuhara Needle Co. Ltd are two subsidiaries of M/s. Fukuhara Industrial and Trading Co who are involved in manufacturing relevant products. The application of M/s. Precision Fukuhara Works Ltd for enlistment under ATUFS is under process

The matter was discussed in detail and the committee decided to call for the balance sheet and Memorandum of Association as proof of having their subsidiary unit.

Decision Taken in the 14th ITC meeting held on 21/12/2020 :

M/s. M/s. Fukuhara Industrial and Trading Co have vide email dt. 18/12/2020 submitted the company Annual Report for the year 2019-2020 which includes their company profile, their balance sheet, business report and company profile of Main subsidiary precision Fukuhara Works.

After going through the documents submitted by the unit, the Committee decided that the matter may be placed in the next TAMC meeting for further discussion / decision.

Decision Taken in the 19th TAMC meeting held on 23/12/2020 :

Since more clarity is required, which can be decided only after examining the new documents now submitted by M/s. Fukuhara Industrial and Trading Co., Ltd, the Committee deferred the agenda and directed ITC for further examination and re- submission of details to the TAMC for decision.

Accordingly, as decided by the 19th TAMC in its meeting dated 23/12/2020 the matter is once again placed before the ITC.

Decision Taken by 15th ITC : After due deliberation, the Committee decided that M/s. Precision Fukuhara Works Ltd can be enlisted under ATUFS as a Machinery Manufacturer and M/s. Fukuhara Industrial and Trading Co., Ltd being the parent company can be considered as its Marketing and Promotion of Sales unit. As such invoice for the machinery manufactured by their subsidiary unit viz. M/s. Precision Fukuhara Works Ltd will be raised by M/s. Fukuhara Industrial and Trading Co., Ltd only. The matter may be placed in the next TAMC meeting for its approval.

Decision of TAMC: Since, M/s. Fukuhara Industrial and Trading Co., Ltd is parent company of the group which is functioning as Marketing and Promotion of Sales unit of their manufacturing units viz. M/s. Precision Fukuhara Works Ltd and M/s. Fukuhara Needle Co. Ltd, hence TAMC has accepted the recommendation of ITC to consider invoice of M/s. Fukuhara Industrial and Trading Co. for their said two manufacturing units. The machine plate will have the name of their respective unit, which has actually manufactured the supplied machine.

Additional Agenda points of ATUFS

Additional Agenda No. 1: Issues in processing Term Loan Take Over Claims under ATUFS

It has been observed under ATUF that certain claims are pending from processing / release due to completion of takeover process. The current process of takeover of term loan under ATUFS is time barring process since it requires the compliance with the GR under ATUFS. The Units / lending agencies are requested to comply with the requirement at earliest.

Decision of TAMC: The members have been apprised on pending cases of takeover of term loan by another bank under ATUFS due to pendency of the documents like due diligence certificate, reconciliation of term loan outstanding taken over by succeeding bank etc. It has also been observed that the current process is cumbersome and need to upgrade with current practices. Accordingly members are requested to suggest / comments for suitable method to arrive at efficient processing of such cases.

Any other point with the permission of Chair.

With the permission of the chair below mentioned information was submitted by the JTxC(T).

On the basis of an urgent request of ATUFS Section vide their note dt. 15/12/2021, the 16th Internal Technical Committee in its meeting held on 16/02/2021 has discussed the issue with respect to the admissibility of Complete Production Line for Manufacture of Surgical Bleached Cotton under Technical Textiles listed at MC 03-J-1 purchased from more than two vendors by M/s. Mohini Health & Hygiene Ltd.

Decision Taken by 16th ITC: The Committee was of the opinion that process of manufacturing surgical cotton is more or less similar to non-woven production line as this process involved in four process of textile value chain i.e. 'Blow Room', Wet Processing, Carding (individualization and paralisation of fibre/Web) and Packing for different end products. There are different manufacturers of each process, who have specialization in manufacturing of products specific machines. As such the complete production line cannot be formed without involving more than two manufactures. Hence, the committee decided to recommend for considering the components in completion of the Production Line for Manufacture of Surgical Bleached Cotton, purchased from different machine manufacturers (more than 2). This matter may also be taken up through TAMC to the MOT / IMSC for relaxation of decided condition of allowing only 2 vendors for technical textiles machines, as the entire production line cannot be procured with the machines manufactured by two vendors only.

Decision of TAMC: TAMC has considered the opinion of ITC and recommendation the same for consideration by Ministry of Textiles, Government of India/ IMSC under ATUFS.

38/12/21

Annexure A (SI No 01 to 28 Nos):List of Machinery Manufacture for ratification of 21st TAMC:

#	NAME OF THE UNIT	ELIGIBLE TEXTILE MACHINE COVERED UNDER WHICH ANNEXURE OF ATUFS	MOU FOR AUTHORIZED AGENT WITH % OF COMMISSION
1	M/s. Chamunda Engineering , Surat-394210, Gujarat	MC-5-14	
2	M/s. VS Aqua Technologies. Erode Dist. Tamilnadu, 638052	MC-2-9	
3	M/s. Aldee Water Private Ltd. Ahmedabad-380059, Gujarat	MC-1-B-9,MC-2-9,36,37, MC-3-H-10	
4	M/s. Longia Steel & Foundry Works, Ludhiana, Punjab, 141007, India.	MC-2-19,MC-2-32,MC-2-71,MC2-17,MC-2-70,MC-2-31,MC-2-75,MC-2-64,MC-2-29	
5	M/s. J. J. Industries, Indore-452 015, Madhya Pradesh	MC-1-A-5,MC-3-W-16	
6	M/s Amita Technical Company Private Limited, Kanchipuram-602105 India.	MC-3-d-7	
7	M/s. Sparrow Tex Engineering Works, Surat, Gujarat 394221	MC-2-7,MC-2-24,MC-2-39,MC-2-41,MC-2-42,MC-2-56	
8	M/s. M.M. Engineers, Panipat-132103 Haryana	MC-1-a-6,MC-2-59,MC-2-80,MC-3-G-1,MC-3-G-1-(vi),MC-3-E-5,MC-3-F-4,MC-4-108	
9	M/s. Icomatex S.A. Spain	MC-2-59,MC-2-8,MC-2-65,MC-2-71,MC-2-77,MC-2-17	
10	M/s. KTK LDA, Portugal	Inclusion of additional machinery for MC-04-113 MC-02-96	
11	M/s. Zhejiang Xinsheng Technology Corp., Ltd. China	MC-4-47	
12	M/s. Wuxi Xindou Dyeing & Printing Machinery Co., Ltd. China.	MC-3-E-9	
13	M/s. Ningbo Cixing Co., Ltd. China.	MC-4-38	
14	M/s. Wuxi Jialong Textile Machinery Co., Ltd. China	MC-1-C-1	
15	M/s. Guangzhou Cheng Helong Printing Machinery Co., Ltd. China	MC-4-113	
16	M/s. Matsuya Machinery (Huizhou) Co., Ltd. China	MC-1-C-7,MC-4-71	
17	M/s. Zhangzhou Kaixing Machine Co., Ltd. China.	MC-1-C-1	
18	M/s. Zhejiang Promaker Intelligent Embroidery Equipment Co., Ltd. China.	MC-4-47	
19	M/s. Sri Sakthi Evaporators. Perundurai Tamil Nadu (Auth. Agent of Sri Sakthi Engineering. Perundurai Tamil Nadu.)		Yes 3%

20	M/s. Orange O Tec Pvt. Ltd. Jodhpur, Rajasthan. (Auth. Agent of M/s. MS Printing Solution SRL. Italy)	Yes 5%
21	M/s. Alliance Embroidery Services, New Delhi. (Auth. Agent of M/s. Zhejiang Xinsheng Technology Corp., Ltd. China.)	Yes 1%
22	M/s. Kailash Textile Solution. Mulund (W) Mumbai. (Auth. Agent of M/s. Tecnorama S.R.L. Italy)	Yes 7%
23	M/s. Hari Chand Anand & Co. Ltd. New Delhi. (Auth. Agent of M/s. Hikari (Shanghai) Precise Machinery Science & Technology Co., Ltd. China)	Yes 5%
24	M/s. Indoflex Screen Print Supplies. Tamil Nadu. (Auth. Agent of M/s. Adelco Screen Process Ltd. England. and M/s. Adelco China Corporation, China.)	Yes 20%
25	M/s Ceradecor India Pvt Ltd (Auth. Agent of M/s Durst Phototechnik AG., Italy)	Yes 2%
26	M/s. I & M Marketing Company, Tirupur (Auth. Agent of M/s. Guangzhou Cheng Helong Printing Machinery Co., Ltd. China)	Yes 3%
27	M/s. Jialong Textile Machinery Ltd. Hongkong (Auth. Agent of M/s. Wuxi Jialong Textile Machinery Co., Ltd. China)	Yes 1%
28	M/s. Sunfix Industrial Co. Ltd., Taiwan (Auth. Agent of M/s. Wuxi Xindou Dyeing & Printing Machinery Co., Ltd. China)	Yes 1%

Annexure B (SI No 29 only) of Machinery Manufacture for ratification through 21st TAMC

SR. NO.	NAME OF THE UNIT	ELIGIBLE TEXTILE MACHINE COVERED UNDER WHICH ANNEXURE OF ATUFS	MOU FOR AUTHORIZED AGENT WITH % OF COMMISSION
29	M/s. Shaoyang Textile Machinery Co., Ltd. China Email. syefjxs@syefj.com	MC-3-G-(v)	

F. No. 16011/02/2020-TUFS
Government of India
Ministry of Textiles

Udyog Bhawan, New Delhi
Date: the 7th February, 2020

To,

The Textile Commissioner,
O/o Textile Commissioner,
Nishtha Bhawan, New CGO Building,
48, New Marine Lines,
Mumbai-400 020.

Subject: Issues raised in the 15th meeting of the Technical Advisory – cum –
Monitoring Committee (TAMC) under ATUFS held on 02.01.2020 - reg

Sir,

I am directed to refer to your letter No. 12(10)/IMSC/A-TUFS/JITP/2019/TUFS
Cell/66 dated 21.02.2020 seeking clarification on the issues raised in the 15th meeting
of TAMC under ATUFS held on 02.01.2020. In this connection, issues referred to
Ministry are clarified as follows:

**Issue 1: Eligibility of claim when major payment for machinery is done after
term loan sanction but before disbursement of loan (Cases where lending
agency reimburses the unit for amount paid to the machinery supplier.)**

Clarification: As long as the machines have been purchased after the term loan
sanction date and it is established that loan amount has been availed to fund the
machinery, the claim can be considered subject to fulfilment of other eligibility criteria
and the following conditions:

- i. Lending agency's loan sanctioning authority shall certify that the total amount of
loan (including the amount reimbursed against the payment to suppliers from
the unit's internal sources) disbursed from the said loan account of the unit was
utilized for the purchase of TUFS eligible assets.
- ii. Submission of documents/bank statements establishing payment to suppliers
from units internal sources.
- iii. Claim must meet all other eligibility norms of the guidelines.

Issue 2: Change in machinery claimed under RTUFS/RRTUFS


Clarification: One time change in machinery claimed under RTUFS/RRTUFS may be
allowed subject to the following:

- i. Lending agency which had earlier verified the machine and certified eligibility
based on which IR and CS was being released, were to acknowledge and
rectify the machine in the prescribed format (R1/R2,RR1/RR2).
- ii. The machine actually installed should fall in the same segment as the one
claimed in R1/R2/RR1/RR2.

H W

- iii. The machine should be installed, commissioned and should meet benchmark technology under the scheme.
- iv Overall committed liability of the account should not exceed amount mentioned in R1/R2/RR1/RR2
- v If the technology procured is higher or equivalent to the technology applied for and approved/sanctioned, it may be permitted with the approval of TAMC on case to case basis; if a proper justification has been provided by the unit for procuring machinery/technology different from what was sanctioned/approved. If the machinery/technology procured is lower than the machinery/technology applied for/approved/ sanctioned, then such change in the machinery /technology by the unit shall not be permitted by Textiles Commissioner and the claim shall be rejected.

Yours faithfully,



(Manoj Sinha)

Deputy Secretary to the Govt. of India
manoj.sinha@gov.in

Annexure – II to the List of Participant to the 21st TAMC

SL No	Name of Participant and Organization
1	Smt Roop Rashi, Textile Commissioner & Chairperson
2	Ms. Usha Prahallad Pol Dy Director General & Member Secretary
3	Shri Ajit B Chavan Addl Textile Commissioner
4	Shri S P Verma Joint Textile Commissioner
5	Shri V K Kohli Joint Textile Commissioner
6	Shri N K Gupta Deputy Director
7	Shri Sivakumar S Deputy Director
8	Smt Avni Gupta Deputy Director
9	Shri A Paramasivam Assistant Director (Economics)
10	Shri Sourav Mukherjee Assistant Director (Cost)
11	Shri A Kaustabh
12	Shri A Ravi Kumar JD, Texprocil
13	Shri S Balaraju ED, SRTEPC
14	Smt Chandrima Chatterjee, Advisor-AEPC
15	Dr L B Singhal, Secretary General-AEPC
16	Dr S Sunanda, SG, CITI
17	Dr K Selvaraju, SG, SIMA
18	Dr Anup Rakshit, ED ITTA

19	Dr K K Misra, Wool Texprocil
20	Dr Mahesh N Sanil, ED, PDEXCIL
21	Shri Mohan Sadwani, ED, CMAI
22	Shri Naren Goenka, Vice Chairman-AEPC
23	Shri Niranjan Halder, State Bank of India
24	Shri Pankaj Agarwal, IJMA, Kolkata
25	Shri Raj Mittal, Knitwear Club, Ludhiana
26	Shri Rajesh Chandra, AGM, SIDBI
27	Smt Sayeeda Patel, AEPC
28	Shri Kalyan Paul, Punjab National Bank
29	Shri Bharat Gandhi, FIASWI, Surat
30	Shri Charanjiv Singh, Knitwear Club, Ludhiana
31	Smt Vaishali, Union Bank of India
32	Shir Sumeet Khowala, FOHAMA
33	Shri Ratan Lal Nolkha
34	Shri Suresh Kandelia CITI
35	Shri Nath Niranjan,
36	Representative from IDBI Bank
37	Representative from Bank of Baroda
38	Representative from Bank of India
39	Representative from State Bank of India
40	Representative from SIMA